

**A template for responding to the reviewers’ comments**

Addressing the reviewers' comments is a crucial aspect of submitting a revised manuscript. In this section, you should explain the revisions made and clarify any misunderstandings from the initial review.

A well-structured response facilitates the reviewers' and Editor’s evaluation of your revised work, making it essential to use this opportunity to highlight the improvements in your study.

**General comments**

* The most effective way to address the reviewers’ and Editor’s comments is through a **point-by-point response**, where each concern raised by reviewers the Editor is answered individually. This structured approach helps both the reviewers and the Editor clearly understand how each issue has been addressed.
* While detailed responses are essential, it is equally important to include a concise summary of the key revisions made. This overview, provided at the beginning of the response, should highlight the main areas of focus during the revision process, guiding the reviewers and Editor through the improvements in the manuscript.
* Ensure that you respond to **every** **comment** from the reviewers and the Editor. If a specific point could not be fully addressed, provide a clear and concise explanation for why this was the case.
* It is understandable that **you may not agree** with all of the reviewers' suggestions. When presenting your perspective, maintain a **professional and constructive tone**, supporting your arguments with **scientific reasoning** and relevant evidence.
* Your response should not contain information that could identify the authors.

**TEMPLATE RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS’ AND EDITOR’S COMMENTS**

Dear Dr hab. Justyna Wyszyńska, prof. UR, Editor-in-Chief of the European Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine,

I appreciate the opportunity to submit a revised version of my manuscript, titled [mention the manuscript’s title], to the European Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine.

I sincerely appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers have devoted to providing valuable feedback on my manuscript. I am grateful for the insightful comments and constructive feedback, which have helped us improve the quality of our work. We have carefully considered each suggestion and incorporated the necessary revisions accordingly. Changes made in the manuscript have been clearly highlighted in bold/color for easy identification.

Below, I provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' and Editor’s comments.

**Reviewer 1**

[How to answer to comments that you agree with]

Comment 1: [Paste the full comment 1 here]

Answer: Thank you for this comment/suggestion. I agree with this comment. Therefore, I have….[Describe the specific changes you have made and indicate their exact location in the revised manuscript, provide details such as the page number, paragraph, and line where the modification can be found].

[How to answer to comments that you disagree with]

Comment 1: [Paste the full comment 1 here]

Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. While this aspect would indeed be interesting to explore, it falls slightly outside the scope of our study because… [Provide a clear explanation/justification, including supporting evidence where possible].

[**Repeat for all comments from Reviewers and Editor**]

**Additional clarifications**

[Please provide any additional clarifications you would like to share with the Editor and reviewers]

We look forward to your response regarding our submission in due course and remain available to address any further questions or comments you may have.

Sincerely,

Corresponding author